

**The correct answer is Answer 2.** In Ontario, rule 7.2-10 requires a lawyer who receives a document relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows or reasonably ought to know that the document was inadvertently sent shall promptly notify the sender. That is the extent of the mandatory element of the rule. The FLSC Model code allows the lawyer to consider Answer 4, but leaves the decision to the lawyer: "Some lawyers may choose to return a document unread, for example, when the lawyer learns before receiving the document that it was inadvertently sent to the wrong address. Unless a lawyer is required by applicable law to do so, the decision to voluntarily return such a document is a matter of professional judgment ordinarily reserved to the lawyer." In Ontario, therefore, Answer 2 is preferable because Answer 4 can result in less effective service to the client and violate rules regarding zealous advocacy under rule 5.1-1(comm. 1).

**Answer 1** is the most effective, from a zealous advocacy perspective in service of the client, but it breaches rule 7.2-10.

**Answer 3** may seem to be a less unethical use of the document, but it still violates rule 7.2-10 and is less than zealous advocacy on behalf of the client.

**Answer 4** is permitted under the FLSC model, under commentary 2, but it conflicts with duties of zealous advocacy in service of the client. This may seem to be the most ethical option, but in Ontario it would be considered an over-reaction against the interests of the client. Therefore, Answer 4 is not as correct an answer as Answer 1.